

CONTEXTUALISING NATIONAL LEVEL RESULTS WITHIN A PUBLIC REPORT ON STROKE CARE IN ENGLAND, WALES AND NORTHERN IRELAND

Anna Argyrides^{1,} Rachael Andrews¹, Lizz Paley¹, Alex Hoffman¹, Mark Kavanagh¹, Ben Bray², Pippa Tyrrell³, Martin James⁴, Anthony Rudd⁵, On behalf of the Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party (ICSWP) and the SSNAP Collaboration

1. Royal College of Physicians, London, United Kingdom 2. University College London, United Kingdom 3. University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom 4. Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust, Exeter, United Kingdom 5. Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

BACKGROUND

The Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme (SSNAP) is the national stroke registry for England, Wales and Northern Ireland, producing a suite of detailed and bespoke reports every four months. The Public Report is produced as an accompaniment to these complex, data driven reports, providing clinical commentary to contextualise national results and highlight key elements of stroke care that have improved or deteriorated over time.

Figures 1-2. Show changes over time across four reporting periods.

	Three month reporting		Four month reporting		
Key Indicators: Standards by	Oct-Dec 2015	Jan-Mar 2016	Apr-Jul 2016	Aug-Nov 2016	

	Three month reporting		Four month reporting		
Communication assessed	Oct-Dec	Jan-Mar	Apr-Jul	Aug-Nov	Ref
by a Speech and Language	2015	2016	2016	2016	
therapist within 72h of					
Clock Start (Q3.7)					
Applicable* to be assessed	47.0%	47.1%	48.5%	49.9%	H12.21
by a SALT within 72h					
Percentage of applicable	85.1%	86.4%	88.3%	89.0%	H12.24
patients assessed by a SALT					
within 72 hours					

METHOD

50-

Lowest results

Several meetings were held with clinical leads to ascertain the aims, scope, audience and direction of the report. Engaging visual content including maps and histograms were developed to support key data. Clinical commentary was included throughout by Professor Tony Rudd, National Clinical Director for Stroke in England to reinforce key messages, highlight areas of improvement, stagnation and deterioration.



Stroke unit

Discharge			-	
Percentage of applicable patients screened for nutrition and seen by a dietitian by discharge*	80.4%	78.5%	82.1%	83.3%
Percentage of applicable patients who have a continence plan drawn up within 3 weeks of clock start	89.6%	89. 7%	90.7%	92.0%
Percentage of applicable patients who have mood and cognition screening by discharge	90.1%	89.2%	90.7%	91.9%

Figure 3. Clinical commentary contextualise data.

Comment: These data show there are still improvements to be made in door to needle time for patients receiving thrombolysis. There are big variations between units demonstrating that it is possible to set services up to operate more efficiently.

Figure 5: Colour coded maps show results across England, Wales and Northern Ireland.





1. Percentage of patients directly admitted to a stroke unit within 4 hours of clock start

Stroke Unit, Domain 2

Components of

Highest results

- 2. Median time between clock start and arrival on stroke unit
- Percentage of patients 3. who spent at least 90% of their stay on stroke unit





RESULTS

The report is updated and disseminated every four months, the most recent of which was released in November 2016. It is hosted in a public area of the SSNAP website receiving up to

Audit Programme (SSNAP)

Clinical audit April-July 2016 Public Report

National results

November 2016

Based on stroke patients admitted to and/or discharged from hospital between April - July 2016

Prepared by

Royal College of Physicians, Clinical Effectiveness and Evaluation Unit on behalf of the Intercollegiate Stroke Working Party

-	of clock	start					
D	and beir	Median time between clock start and being assessed by stroke 12h 27m 12h 17m 12h 03m consultant					
Clinical	assessed	age of patients who were d by a nurse trained in nanagement within 24h of art	89.1%	88.8%	89.0%	89.8	
ij		time between clock start ng assessed by stroke ninutes)	1h 26m	1h 26m	1h 30m	1h 15m	
	who we	age of applicable patients re given a swallow screen h of clock start	72.8%	72.0%	71.2%	74.4%	
	who we	age of applicable patients re given a formal swallow ent within 72h of clock	84.9%	83.8%	84.5%	87.5%	
	Distribu	ition of Domain 4 level acr		_	teams)		
		* °]	Specialist Ass	essments			
		0 10 Source: SSNAP Apr-Jul Team-centred results for	20 30 40 Team-centred	50 60 70 80 Domain 4 score	90 100		
		Team-centred results for	Doman 4		National Inc.		
	D4 Level		Number of teams achieving each level				
		Three month reporting		Four mor	Four month reporting		
		Jul-Sep 2015	Oct-Dec 2015	Jan-Mar 20	16 Apr-	Jul 2016	
	A	21 teams (14%)	20 teams (13%)	17 teams (12	2%) 28 tea	ams (19%)	
	B	48 teams (31%)	46 teams (30%)	52 teams (3	5%) 52 tea	ams (35%)	
	С	21 teams (14%)	22 teams (14%)	25 teams (1	7%) 25 tea	ams (17%)	
	D	39 teams (25%)	38 teams (25%)	33 teams (2)	-	ams (16%)	
	E	24 teams (16%)	26 teams (17%)	20 teams (14	1%) 18 tea	ams (12%)	
		SSNAP Apri	l - July 2016 Public	Report (Novemb	er 2016)	31	

stage of patients who were sessed by a stroke specialist

79.6%

78.7%

79.1%

8,000 downloads in a reporting period. The report is distributed at both national and international conferences, where it proves to be extremely popular with varied audiences and has proved to be an invaluable resource for stroke researchers.

CONCLUSION

A public facing report on stroke care which includes data visualisations and clinical commentary can contextualise clinically focused results, thereby enhancing the reader's understanding of complex registry data. This approach to public reporting to a wide audience could be adopted in other stroke quality registers.